Legislative Retirement Study Commission Report of Meeting held Thursday, February 6, 1969 in room 125 (House Hearing Room)

PRESENT: Ogdahl, T. Anderson, Sen. Hansen, Jos. O'Neill, Karl Grittner Rep. Sommers, Rep. Cal. Larson, Rep. Ed. Tomczyk

Mr.  $\bigcirc$  Neill moved that the Commission members who are presently on the Commission stay until the close of the Session and/or 6/30/69.

Motion Carried

1/27/69 Communication from MSRS, Paul Groschen, requesting early consideration re improving Retirement benefits for State employees.

Commission agreed that it will be taking this matter up shortly.

1/14/69 - Letter received from National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems inviting one or more members to the meeting in Honolulu Hawaii on April 14, 15, 16.

Rep. T. Anderson moved that Mel Hansen and Grittner represent the Commission in Hawii. Carried.

1/28/69 letter from Minnesota State Police Officers Retirement Association, Edward W. Reed, advising that individual files of the members had been moved to the vault of the Crime Bureau as of 1/21/69.

The Commission will check on this further to make sure all of this has been accomplished.

Material for the final report relative to PERA was approved for publication. This part of the material was in reference to the Commission approving a recommendation for recodification of the PERA law.

Thor Anderson moved that this material be included in the final report. CARRIED.

S.F. 13 - Metcalf H.F. 80 - Morlock, Jopp

The State of Minnesota determines what the statutes mean and not the Internal Revenue.

It was decided that Mr. Moulton will talk with Sen. Metcalf as to having the attorney general's opinion on this; also a copy of the letter from the Internal Revenue Department will be gotten. Minutes of 2/6/69 meeting continued:

H.F. 437 (Part-time employees who receive monthly compensation not exceeding \$75)

Decision to hold H.F. 437 over until we receive the Semate file .

Mr. Moulton will explain conflict.

Sen. Grittner moved that when this analysis is turned over to the appropriate committees that Mr. Moulton is to make additional reference to the conflict with the original bill. Should be a part of the record. Passage of this bill would put it in conflict with the policy of the Commission stated in the Commission report on the \$75 elected officials.

Mr. Sathre is to talk with Mr. Moulton and find out what the bill does. Point out the problems of all these bills.

Sen. Grittner moved that the Secretary (Mr. Moulton) be instructed to ask the revisor of statutes to draw up appropriate language that would match the provisions for local fire and police with the provisions, etc. in MSRS. CARRIED.